

**BARNES & THORNBURG LLP**

11 S. Meridian Street  
Indianapolis, IN 46204-3535 U.S.A.  
(317) 236-1313  
Fax (317) 231-7433

[www.btlaw.com](http://www.btlaw.com)

J.T. Larson  
317-231-7729  
[jt.larson@btlaw.com](mailto:jt.larson@btlaw.com)

January 10, 2025

***Via Electronic Court Filing***

Honorable Gregory H. Woods  
Daniel Patrick Moynihan  
United States Courthouse  
500 Pearl Street  
New York, New York 10007-1312

**Re: *Rouviere v. DePuy Orthopaedics, Inc., et al., Case No: 1:18-cv-04814-GHW-GS***

Dear Judge Woods:

We represent DePuy Orthopaedics, Inc. (“DePuy”) (now known as Medical Device Business Services, Inc.) in the above-referenced matter. We write jointly on behalf of DePuy and Howmedica Osteonics Corp. (“Stryker”, together with DePuy, “Defendants”).

On Monday, January 6, 2025, Plaintiff Jodi Rouviere (“Plaintiff”) served on Defendants an omnibus reply brief (the “Reply”) to Defendants’ opposition briefs (ECF Nos. 379, 380, 381 and 383) to Plaintiff’s Motion for Relief of Judgment (ECF No. 364, the “Vacatur Motion”) and Motion for Evidentiary Hearing (ECF No. 373, the “Evidentiary Hearing Motion”). The Reply has not yet been filed on the docket, but Plaintiff has represented to Defendants that she mailed a copy of the Reply to the Court’s pro se office for filing on the date of service. A copy of the Reply is attached hereto at **Exhibit A**.

In her Reply, Plaintiff raises new arguments and allegations not previously raised in either the Vacatur Motion or the Evidentiary Hearing Motion, principally that Second Circuit Judge Reena Raggi should have been disqualified based on income she received from her late husband’s firm, Seyfarth Shaw LLP, which has represented Stryker in separate litigation. *See* Reply at 5–7. In addition, Plaintiff makes new allegations of unethical conduct against Judge Liman, Judge Aaron, and counsel to Defendants.

Issues raised for the first time on reply are generally deemed waived. *See, e.g., Gomez v. Resurgent Cap. Servs., LP*, 129 F. Supp. 3d 147, 159 (S.D.N.Y. 2015). Nonetheless, Defendants

January 10, 2025  
Page 2

respectfully request the opportunity to jointly file a short sur-reply in order to address these new arguments and allegations, by no later than **January 17, 2025**.

Respectfully submitted,

J.T. Larson, Esq.

cc: Jodi Rouviere  
Paul E. Asfendis, Esq.